

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | F    | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/668,650  |      | 09/23/2003 | Douglas S. Cali      | 816020-100041US     | 2078            |
| 34026   | 7590 | 01/27/2006 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| JONES DA  | λY   |            | MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H  |                     |                 |
| 555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET FIFTIETH FLOOR<br>LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 |      |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |      |            |                      | 3738                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | 10/668,650   | CALI ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | William H. Matthews (Howie)  | 3738  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHOR WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pet - Failure te Any reply   | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)   | esponsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{17 N}$ nis action is FINAL. 2b) $\boxtimes$ This nce this application is in condition for allowards accordance with the practice under E   | s action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition   | of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a<br>5)  | laim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed.  laim(s) is/are rejected.  laim(s) is/are objected to.  laim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.  | wn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application   | Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)□ Th<br>Ap<br>Re   | e specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.  | epted or b) objected to by the E<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See<br>tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).          |  |  |  |  |
| Priority und  | der 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s  |   | _  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of 3) Information   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/668,650 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the methods as claimed could be used with a materially different product such as annuloplasty rings or arterial grafts.

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 4. Should Applicant elect Group I above, then a further election of one of the following species is required:

A. Figure 4a-5

E. Figure 26A

B. Figure 18-19b

F. Figure 26B

C. Figure 20

G. Figure 26D

D. Figure 23

H. Figure 26<sup>E</sup>

Application/Control Number: 10/668,650 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

5. Should Applicant elect Group II above, then a further election of one of the

following species is required:

A. Manufacture

B. Implanting

C. Testing

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

Page 4

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 571-272-4753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,650

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WHM

1-20-06